

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOx 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/972,035	-	10/04/2001	Daniel Albert Wettstein	1907.03 2866 EXAMINER	
26698	7590	03/24/2004			
MYRIAD				HILL, MY	RON G
LEGAL DI 320 WAKA		•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAK	CE CITY,	UT 84108		1648	
				DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	
					1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •				T				
		Appli	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
No. on			72,035	WETTSTEIN ET AL.				
Offic	e Action Summary	Exan	niner	Art Unit				
			n G. Hill	1648				
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commu	nication appears o	n the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENE THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wi Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD IDATE OF THIS COMMUNE may be available under the provision ITHS from the mailing date of this comply specified above is less than thirty eight is specified above, the maximum so thin the set or extended period for repid by the Office later than three months an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)⊠ This acti 3)□ Since th		2b)⊠ This action n for allowance ex	is non-final. cept for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Cla	aims				•			
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s)	Claim(s) 1- 64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24- 43 and 51- 60 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1- 23, 44- 50, and 61- 64. is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Pape								
10)∭ The draw Applicant Replacen	cification is objected to by the ving(s) filed on is/are may not request that any objust the same objusted including the or declaration is objected	e: a) accepted of	g(s) be held in abeyance. Se equired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
a)	edgment is made of a claim) Some * c) None of: ertified copies of the priority ertified copies of the priority opies of the certified copies oplication from the Internati ttached detailed Office acti	y documents have y documents have s of the priority doc onal Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Applicat cuments have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
	person's Patent Drawing Review closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Amendment C, filed 9/29/03.

Claims 1-23 and 44-50 are under consideration in this action.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant requests that claim 26 be included in the elected invention because it has been amended to be "substantially identical" to the protein complex of claim 1. The amended form of the claim was not presented in the amended claim set and cannot be considered by the examiner. The examiner will consider including the claim if presented in the next response with the claim set.

Information Disclosure Statement

Signed and initialed copies of IDS papers #14 and 15 (filed June 26, 2003 and September 19, 2003, respectively) are enclosed.

Objection/Rejections Withdrawn

Specification

The specification has been amended to remove the hyperlinks and the objection is withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 44- 50 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ott as applied to claims 1- 8, 12- 15, 17- 20, and 22 above, and further in view of Heinrichs is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1648

The cited art does not meet the limitations of the claims after consideration of applicant's arguments.

Rejections Maintained

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1- 23 and 44- 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The metes and bounds of the terms "homologue", "derivative", and "fragment" are not clear.

Applicant argues that the definition of homology is clear as to what is required, that the structure is similar and interact through the same domain as the interactor, and amended the claim so that it is required to be 50% identical.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and not found persuasive.

Applicant deleted the term derivative from the claims.

It is not clear what structure is required to be 50% and there is no domain recited in the claims. Without a reference to a SEQ ID# it is not clear what is meant by the function recited. See new rejections below.

The term fragment was not addressed in the response. Without knowing what structure is required, the metes and bounds of fragment are not clear.

"Homologue" and fragment are still not clear.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,035

Art Unit: 1648

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1- 8, 12- 15, 17- 20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ott.

Applicant argues that ubiquitin does not have an EUV domain, that ubiquitin does not bind gag in the same way as TSG101, and that ubiquitin only shares 7.4% sequence homology and is not a homologue.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and not found persuasive.

The claims do not recite a domain or specific binding site. The protein of Ott contains several short fragments that are over 4 residues long that are 100% identical to TSG101 and binding can be based on those fragments. The claims only require fragments. Because the metes and bounds of the term fragment are not clear, the rejection is maintained.

Thus, Ott anticipates the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 9- 11, 16, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ott as applied to claims 1- 8, 12- 15, 17- 20, and 22 above, and further in view of Desai.

Applicant argues that ubiquitin does not bind gag in the same way as TSG101.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and not found persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,035 Page 5

Art Unit: 1648

The claims do not recite a domain or specific binding site. The protein of Ott contains several fragments that are over 4 residues long that are 100% identical to TSG101 and binding can be based on those fragments. Because the metes and bounds of the term fragment are not clear, the rejection is maintained.

Thus, Ott and Desai anticipate the invention.

Rejections Based On Amendments or Newly Added Claims Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1- 4, and 61- 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1- 4 it is not clear what defines 50% because there is no definite structure to base the 50% on.

In claims 61- 64, it is not clear what the metes and bounds of UEV domain are. It is not clear what the term fragment means, see at least claim 61 (b)(2) and rejection above. Also, in at least claim 61 (a)(iv), it is not clear what "said TSG101" refers to in the list of TSG101s. The term in at least claim 61 (b)(1) "HIV GAG polypeptide" does not define what comprises the polypeptide.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/972,035

Art Unit: 1648

No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 571-272-0901. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,035

Art Unit: 1648

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Myron G. Hill Patent Examiner March 20, 2004

> UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600